

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
| | 10/673,053 | | BRUCHHAUS ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | Su C. Kim | | 2823 | | |

All Participants:

(1) Su C. Kim.

(2) Bing Al.

Date of Interview: 14 October 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


applicant agreed to the changes in the claims as shown in the examiners amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BROOK KEBEDE
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)